

14. Lu N, Xi X, Jiang L, et al. Exploring the best predictors of fluid responsiveness in patients with septic shock. *Am J Emerg Med.* 2017;35(9):1258-61. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajem.2017.03.052>.
15. Barbier C, Loubières Y, Schmit C, et al. Respiratory changes in inferior vena cava diameter are helpful in predicting fluid responsiveness in ventilated septic patients. *Intensive Care Med.* 2004;30(9):1740-6. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00134-004-2259-8>.
16. Vignon P, Repessé X, Bégot E, et al. Comparison of echocardiographic indices used to predict fluid responsiveness in ventilated patients. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2017;195(8):1022-32. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201604-0844oc>.
17. Vellinga NAR, Ince C, Boerma EC. Elevated central venous pressure is associated with impairment of microcirculatory blood flow in sepsis: a hypothesis generating post hoc analysis. *BMC Anesthesiol.* 2013;13(1). DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2253-13-17>.
18. Beaubien-Souigny W, Rola P, Haycock K, et al. Quantifying systemic congestion with Point-Of-Care ultrasound: development of the venous excess ultrasound grading system. *Ultrasound J.* 2020;12(1). DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13089-020-00163-w>.
19. Prager R, Argaiz E, Pratte M, et al. Doppler identified venous congestion in septic shock: protocol for an international, multi-centre prospective cohort study (Andromeda-VEXUS). *BMJ Open.* 2023;13(7):e074843. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2023-074843>.



3. kongres diabetologie pro praxi

29. 1. 2025
CENTRAL PARK FLORA,
OLOMOUC



SAVE
THE
DATE

PŘIPRAVOVANÉ
TEMATICKÉ BLOKY:

Diabetes mellitus

- ... a novinky ve farmakoterapii
- ... a neurologické komplikace
- ... a těhotenství
- ... v kazuistikách

Odborný garant

prof. MUDr. David Karásek, Ph.D.

MÍSTO KONÁNÍ: HOTEL FLORA
(CENTRAL PARK FLORA)

Krapkova 439/34, 779 00 Olomouc

